12:30:57 PMApplication No. 10/813,515 Response to Office Action Dated 12/29/2005 Amendment Dated March 22, 2006

REMARKS

Claims 1-12 were previously canceled. Claims 13-20 are pending in the present application. Claims 13-20 are being canceled in the present amendment. New claims 21-25 have been introduced. Therefore, upon entry of the present amendment, claims 21-25 will be subject to examination.

In the Office Action dated 12/29/2006, the Examiner has rejected claims 13-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) because allegedly obvious in view of U.S.P.N. 5,601,959 to Brault ("Brault").

Because claims 13-20 are now being canceled, the Examiner's rejection is moot as to those claims. Because new claims 21-27 are now being introduced, it will be shown hereafter that new claims 21-27 are not obvious in view of Brault.

Applicant submits that the patentable differences between Brault and Applicant's invention have been misapprehended in the previous amendment. In order to facilitate the present discussion, some highlights of the two inventions will be compared first in Table I below. All references to Applicant's disclosure are made by means of the paragraph numbers in published application US2005/000633 A1.

"
"
"
"
"
"
"
"
"
"
"

//

12:30:57 PMApplication No. 10/813,515 Response to Office Action Dated 12/29/2005 Amendment Dated March 22, 2006

Table I
Highlights of Brault's and Applicant's Inventions

BRAULT	APPLICANT
The releasable substrate must have two layers.	The image is printed on a roll of transfer sheet
of which one is transparent and dielectric and	paper. (Paragraph [0018].
is positioned over a conductive layer. (Col 7, ll.	
7-10). The surface of the dielectric layer must	
be rough and slightly abrasive. (Col 7, ll. 49-	
50).	
A computer distributes an electric charge over	Any printing system based on an electrostatic
the dielectric layer, forming a latent image.	printer may be used. (Paragraph [0019]).
Toner is fixed over the latent image at a	
successive station. (Col. 4, Il. 51-62).	
The image is created on top of the dielectric	A sealant is <u>coated over the image</u> prior to
layer prior to transfer. (Col. 5, Il. 8-12; Fig. 2).	transfer, in order to protect it from distortion
No other layers are superposed over the image.	and irregularity (Paragraph [0020]) and from
	smudging (Paragraph [0024]).
The image is transferred to a permanent	The transfer sheet is positioned against a wall
receptor. (Col. 5, Il. 12-13). The conductive	and peeled off in its entirety. (Paragraphs
carrier sheet is then separated from the	[0026] – [0027]).
dielectric layer. (Col. 6, ll. 64-67; Fig. 11).	
The result of this process is an image attached	The result is an image exposed to the external
to the permanent receptor, sandwiched between	environment that can be later varnished or be
the permanent receptor and the dielectric	painted over if desired. (Paragraphs [0030] and
transparent layer. (Col. 7, ll. 1-6; Fig. 4).	[0037]).

12:30:57 PMApplication No. 10/813,515 Response to Office Action Dated 12/29/2005 Amendment Dated March 22, 2006

In particular, the following Table II summarizes a few examples where Brault teaches away from Applicant's invention.

Table II

Examples of Where Brault Teaches Away from Applicant's Invention

BRAULT	APPLICANT
Printing on a paper releasable substrate is	Paper is a suitable releasable substrate.
completely unsuitable. (Col. 1, ll. 66-67).	(Paragraph [0019]).
The releasable substrate must include a	Only paper (i.e. a single dielectric layer) may
dielectric and a conductive layer. (Col. 7, ll. 7-	be employed as a releasable substrate.
10).	(Paragraph [0019]).
The print surface must have a rough and	Applicant requires no restrictions on print
slightly abrasive surface. (Col. 7, Il. 49-50).	surface (i.e. any surface type may be
	employed).
A low gloss image on the receiving surface is	Any gloss level is acceptable on the receiving
undesirable. (Col. 1, l. 36).	surface. (Paragraphs [0028], [0030]).
The image transferred on the receiving surface	The image is exposed to the external
is inherently sandwiched between the receiving	environment. A varnish may be applied on the
surface and the dielectric layer, due to the	image after the transfer, and of any gloss level.
nature of the transfer process. (Col. 7, ll. 1-6;	(Paragraph [0030].
Fig. 4).	

It is particularly noteworthy that Brault specifically teaches that the transferred image must be sandwiches between the permanent receptor and the dielectric layer, while Applicant

12:30:57 PM Application No. 10/813,515 Response to Office Action Dated 12/29/2005 Amendment Dated March 22, 2006

teaches a method for providing an image on a permanent receptor exposed to the outside environment that can be left as such or reworked.

Obviousness requires that the cited prior reference teach or suggest every limitation of the claimed invention. On the contrary, Brault's disclosure dies not teach nor suggest Applicant's invention. Instead, Brault expressly uses language in several instances that expressly teaches away from Applicant's invention (see, for instance, the use of the term "must" in the comparisons shown above).

Applicant's new claims 21-27 summarize the above described process steps, using a language that distinguishes from Brault, so that the language of Applicant's claims cannot be read to encompass Brault's disclosure.

For the above described reasons, Applicant's new claims are not obvious in view of Brault, and a notice of allowance for the present application is respectfully requested.

12:30:57 PMApplication No 10/813.515 Response to Office Action Dated 12/29/2005 Amendment Dated March 22, 2006

CONCLUSION

In view of the amendments and remarks submitted herein, Applicant respectfully requests that a timely a Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Should the Examiner have any comments or wish to discuss this matter, the undersigned attorney can be contacted by telephone, fax, or e-mail at the below indicated address.

Date: March 24, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Franco A. Serafini, Registration No. 52,207

Attorney for Applicant Tel. (858) 456-2898

Fax: (858) 225-3920

E-Mail: franco@patentconcepts.com

SERAFINI ASSOCIATES 7660 Fay Avenue, Suite H378 La Jolla, CA 92037